

GENERALIZED LINEAR SYSTEMS ON CURVES AND THEIR WEIERSTRASS POINTS

EDUARDO ESTEVES AND PATRÍCIA NOGUEIRA

ABSTRACT. Let C be a projective Gorenstein curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. A generalized linear system on C is a pair $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ consisting of a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on C and a map of vector spaces $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I})$. If the system is nondegenerate on every irreducible component of C , we associate to it a 0-cycle W , its *Weierstrass cycle*. Then we show that for each one-parameter family of curves C_t degenerating to C , and each family of linear systems $(\mathcal{L}_t, \epsilon_t)$ along C_t , with $\mathcal{L}_t$ invertible, degenerating to $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$, the corresponding Weierstrass divisors degenerate to a subscheme whose associated 0-cycle is W . We show that the limit subscheme contains always an “intrinsic” subscheme, canonically associated to $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$, but the limit itself depends on the family $\mathcal{L}_t$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of linear systems on complete, smooth curves is the study of the projective geometry of those curves, and thus has a long history. Notably, Severi attempted to prove what is known today as the Brill–Noether theorem in his book [40], Anhang G, Sect. 8, pp. 380–390; see [23], Chapter 5 for an account.

The Brill–Noether theorem is a statement about linear systems on general smooth curves. Roughly speaking, Severi hoped to prove it by degenerating smooth curves to general irreducible, rational, nodal curves, keeping track of what happens to linear systems under such degeneration.

Severi did not succeed, but his ideas and efforts led to important developments in the second half of last century. There are two difficulties with Severi’s argument. First, line bundles do not necessarily degenerate to line bundles. But Kleiman [24] observed that they do degenerate to torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves, which he used to overcome this first problem, and give a proof of the theorem for linear systems of rank 1. The second problem became a conjecture about secant lines

First author supported by CNPq, Proc. 303797/2007-0 and 473032/2008-2, and FAPERJ, Proc. E-26.102.769/2008.

of a rational normal curve in [24], which, according to [23], p. 243, remains as a whole undecided. But Griffiths and Harris [20] bypassed the conjecture by degenerating even further, thereby completing the proof of the theorem.

Later on, Eisenbud and Harris [9] simplified the argument by considering degenerations to irreducible, rational, cuspidal curves. Rather than restricting themselves to irreducible curves, they observed that, by means of the semistable reduction of these degenerations, the irreducible, rational curves could be replaced by special curves of compact type, called flag curves. Studying degenerations of linear systems, called limit linear series, to curves of compact type, Eisenbud and Harris were able to discover many other interesting results; see [10].

Central to the study of linear systems is the study of their Weierstrass (or ramification) points. These are points of the curve at which at least one divisor (or nonzero section) of the linear system vanishes with multiplicity higher than the rank of the system. In Eisenbud's and Harris's theory of limit linear series, degenerations of Weierstrass points play a significant role. The so-called refined limit linear series are essentially those for which singular points are not limits of Weierstrass points; see [10], Prop. 2.5, p. 350.

In contrast, singular points of irreducible curves, at least those with Gorenstein singularities, are always limits of Weierstrass points. Major work was done by Widland, Lax and Garcia ([18], [31], [32], [33], [41]) in the 1980's and early 1990's to define and study Weierstrass points of linear systems on such curves. In particular, Lax showed that these Weierstrass points are the limits of the Weierstrass points of linear systems on smooth curves degenerating to the given linear system on the singular curve; see [32], Prop. 2, p. 9.

However, as observed earlier, linear systems do not necessarily degenerate to linear systems, but rather to vector spaces of sections of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves, which we call generalized linear systems; see Subsection 4.2. Essentially, generalized linear systems appeared before. For instance, they appeared in the theory of " r -special" subschemes developed in [24] and in the theory of "generalized divisors" developed in [22]. However, to our knowledge, Weierstrass points for these systems have never been defined nor studied.

We fill this gap in the literature as follows. Let C be a projective, possibly reducible, Gorenstein curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C and $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I})$ a nonzero, injective map of vector spaces. We say that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is a nondegenerate generalized linear system.

Assume that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is strongly nondegenerate, that is, that $\epsilon(v)$ is generically nonzero on every irreducible component of C for every nonzero $v \in V$; see Subsection 4.2. We associate to $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ a subscheme $Z(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ and a 0-cycle $R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ of C ; see Subsections 4.3 and 6.4. We call the first the intrinsic Weierstrass scheme and the second the Weierstrass cycle of $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$.

The 0-cycle $R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ can be computed by adding certain contributions at the singular points of C to the 0-cycle associated to the Weierstrass divisor of the linear system induced by $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ on the normalization of C . This is a consequence of our Theorem 5.3.

Our main result is that, if $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is a limit of “true” linear systems $(\mathcal{L}_t, \epsilon_t)$ along a family of curves C_t degenerating to C , then the Weierstrass divisors of the linear systems $(\mathcal{L}_t, \epsilon_t)$, parameterizing weighted Weierstrass points, converge to a subscheme of C containing $Z(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ and whose associated 0-cycle is $R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$; see Theorem 8.4.

So, the 0-cycle of the limit subscheme is intrinsic to $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$. But the limit subscheme itself may depend on the degeneration; see Example 8.6. As a matter of fact, we observe that the limit depends only on the family of invertible sheaves $\mathcal{L}_t$ degenerating to $\mathcal{I}$; see Remark 8.5. The information one needs to retain from the degeneration is the map $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ to a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf $\mathcal{J}$ obtained, in a sense, as the limit of the identity maps of the $\mathcal{L}_t^{\otimes r+1}$.

This indicates that, as far as Weierstrass points are concerned, instead of considering moduli spaces for torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves — the compactified Jacobians of [1], [2], [8], [13], [36] or [39], for instance — it might be necessary to consider moduli spaces of sheaves $\mathcal{I}$ with additional structures, so that maps like $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ are encoded. Pacini and the first author consider spaces of the type for nodal curves in [16].

The condition that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ be strongly nondegenerate, instead of simply nondegenerate, is automatic if C is irreducible, but a strong condition otherwise. Indeed, it is rare that linear systems of interest, as the canonical systems, degenerate to strongly (generalized) linear systems on reducible curves; see [5] and [10] for discussions on this. Different approaches are thus necessary, as those taken in [12] and [10] or, more recently, in [37].

We do not know whether Theorem 8.4, our main result, can be adapted to hold in positive characteristic. In fact, our current knowledge of how Weierstrass points vary in families, even of smooth curves, is very limited. Nevertheless, as usual in the theory, all of the results in the present paper hold if the characteristic of the base field is large enough, for instance, larger than the rank of the linear systems considered.

Throughout the paper we adopt a local approach, defining the relevant sections of sheaves, the Wronskians, by a patching construction. A global approach is possible, in the spirit found in [27] and [28], by using the substitutes for the sheaves of principals parts given in [19], [11], [14], [29] or [30]. For this, we refer the reader to [35].

Here is an outline of the paper. In Section 2 we recall linear systems and Weierstrass points on smooth curves. In Section 3 we recall fundamental classes and torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves on singular curves. In Section 4 we define the Weierstrass cycle of a generalized linear system. In Section 5 we compare Weierstrass cycles using birational maps. In Section 6 we define the intrinsic Weierstrass scheme of a generalized linear system. In Section 7 we study families of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves. Finally, in Section 8 we prove our main result, Theorem 8.4, which gives information on limits of Weierstrass divisors.

The present paper is heavily based on the second author's doctor thesis [35]. We thank Steven Kleiman for many comments and references.

2. LINEAR SYSTEMS ON SMOOTH CURVES

2.1. Terminology. A *curve* is a projective, reduced scheme of pure dimension 1 over an algebraically closed field. The *arithmetic genus* of a curve C is $h^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$. A *divisor* is a Cartier divisor. A *cycle* is a 0-cycle. A *point* is a closed point, unless specified otherwise.

Given a Cartier divisor D of a curve C over an algebraically closed field k , and $P \in C$, let $\text{mult}_P(D)$ denote the *multiplicity* of D at P ; if D is given at P by a/b , for $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, then

$$\text{mult}_P(D) = \dim_k \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C,P}}{(a)} - \dim_k \frac{\mathcal{O}_{C,P}}{(b)},$$

where k is the base field. Let $[D]$ denote the associated cycle, namely,

$$[D] := \sum_{P \in C} \text{mult}_P(D)[P].$$

Likewise, given a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on C with finite support, let $\text{mult}_P(\mathcal{F}) := \dim_k \mathcal{F}_P$ for each $P \in C$ and set

$$[\mathcal{F}] := \sum_{P \in C} \text{mult}_P(\mathcal{F})[P].$$

If $Y \subset C$ is a finite subscheme, set $\text{mult}_P(Y) := \text{mult}_P(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ for every $P \in C$ and $[Y] := [\mathcal{O}_Y]$.

Finally, for a coherent sheaf on C , its *torsion* subsheaf is the maximum coherent subsheaf with finite support.

2.2. Linear systems and Weierstrass points. Let C be a curve of arithmetic genus g over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on C and $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ a map of vector spaces over k . Set $d := \deg \mathcal{L}$ and $r := \dim_k V - 1$.

We say that $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is a *linear system* of *degree* d and *rank* r . We say that $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is *nondegenerate* if $r \geq 0$ and ϵ is injective. If, moreover, for every irreducible component $Y \subseteq C$ the composition

$$V \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}|_Y)$$

of ϵ with the restriction map is injective, then we say that $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is *strongly nondegenerate*.

Assume $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is strongly nondegenerate. Let P be a simple point of C , that is, a point on the nonsingular locus of C . We say that an integer e is an *order* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ at P if there is a nonzero $v \in V$ such that $\epsilon(v)$ vanishes at P with order e . If two sections of $\mathcal{L}$ have the same order at P , a certain k -linear combination of them will be zero or have higher order. Thus there are exactly $r + 1$ orders of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ at P . Putting them in increasing order we get a sequence

$$e_0(P), e_1(P), \dots, e_r(P),$$

called the *order sequence* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ at P .

For each simple $P \in C$, put

$$e(P) := \sum_{i=0}^r (e_i(P) - i).$$

We call P a *Weierstrass point* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ if $e(P) > 0$.

If C is nonsingular, we call the cycle

$$R(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon) := \sum_{P \in C} e(P)[P]$$

the *Weierstrass cycle* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$. That it is indeed a cycle, that is, that there are only finitely many Weierstrass points of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$, will be seen in Subsection 2.4.

2.3. The Weierstrass divisor. Keep the setup of Subsection 2.2. In particular, assume that $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is a strongly nondegenerate linear system.

The sheaf of Kähler differentials Ω_C^1 is invertible on the nonsingular locus of C . Thus the nonsingular locus can be covered by open subschemes U for which Ω_U^1 and $\mathcal{L}|_U$ are trivial. For such a U , let $\mu \in \Gamma(U, \Omega_C^1)$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$ be sections generating Ω_U^1 and $\mathcal{L}|_U$.

Fix a basis $\beta = (v_0, \dots, v_r)$ of V . Then there are regular functions $f_0, \dots, f_r$ on U such that $\epsilon(v_i)|_U = f_i \sigma$ for each $i = 0, \dots, r$. Let ∂ be

the k -linear derivation of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ such that $dh = \partial h \mu$ for each regular function h on U . Form the Wronskian determinant:

$$w(\beta, \sigma, \mu) := \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \cdots & f_r \\ \partial f_0 & \cdots & \partial f_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^r f_0 & \cdots & \partial^r f_r \end{vmatrix}.$$

If σ' and μ' are other generators of $\mathcal{L}|_U$ and Ω_U^1 , respectively, then $\sigma' = a\sigma$ and $\mu' = b\mu$ for certain everywhere nonzero regular functions a and b on U . Also, if $\beta' = (v'_0, \dots, v'_r)$ is another basis of V , then $\beta' = \beta M$, where M is an invertible matrix of size $r+1$ and entries in k . By the multilinearity of the determinant and the product rule of derivations,

$$w(\beta', \sigma', \mu') := \begin{vmatrix} af'_0 & \cdots & af'_r \\ b\partial(af'_0) & \cdots & b\partial(af'_r) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (b\partial)^r(af'_0) & \cdots & (b\partial)^r(af'_r) \end{vmatrix} = ca^{r+1}b^{\binom{r+1}{2}}w(\beta, \sigma, \mu),$$

where $c := \det M$.

Thus the $w(\beta, \sigma, \mu)$ patch up to a section w of

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes (\Omega_C^1)^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}$$

over the nonsingular locus of C , well-defined up to multiplication by an element of k^* .

Assume C is nonsingular. Then we call w a *Wronskian* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$. The zero scheme of w is denoted by $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ and called the *Weierstrass divisor* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$. Though w is only defined modulo k^* , the divisor $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is well-defined.

That $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is indeed a divisor will be seen in Subsection 2.4. Since $\mathcal{L}$ has degree d and Ω_C^1 has degree $2g - 2n$, where n is the number of connected components of C , it follows that

$$(2.3.1) \quad \deg[W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)] = (r+1)(d + r(g-n)),$$

a formula known as the *Plücker formula*.

2.4. From divisor to cycle. Keep the setup of Subsection 2.2.

The relation between the Weierstrass cycle and divisor is simple: the cycle is that associated to the divisor. To prove this, let P be a simple point of C . Let t be a local parameter of C at P . Then t is a regular function on an open neighborhood $U \subseteq C$ of P . Shrinking U around P if necessary, we may assume that dt generates Ω_U^1 . Also, we may assume there is $\sigma \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{L})$ generating $\mathcal{L}|_U$.

There are $v_0, \dots, v_r \in V$ such that $\epsilon(v_i)$ vanishes at P with order $e_i(P)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, r$. Shrinking U around P if necessary, we may assume that there are everywhere nonzero regular functions $u_0, \dots, u_r$ on U such that

$$\epsilon(v_i)|_U = u_i t^{e_i(P)} \sigma$$

for each $i = 0, \dots, r$. Since the orders $e_i(P)$ are distinct, it follows that $\beta := (v_0, \dots, v_r)$ is a basis of V .

The Wronskian determinant $w(\beta, \sigma, dt)$ has the form:

$$w(\beta, \sigma, dt) = \begin{vmatrix} u_0 t^{e_0(P)} & \dots & u_r t^{e_r(P)} \\ \frac{d}{dt}(u_0 t^{e_0(P)}) & \dots & \frac{d}{dt}(u_r t^{e_r(P)}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{d^r}{dt^r}(u_0 t^{e_0(P)}) & \dots & \frac{d^r}{dt^r}(u_r t^{e_r(P)}) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using the multilinearity of the determinant, the product rule of derivations, and the fact that $\frac{d}{dt}(t^j) = jt^{j-1}$ for each integer $j \geq 1$, we get

$$w(\beta, \sigma, dt) = t^{e(P)} v,$$

where $e(P) = \sum_i (e_i(P) - i)$, and where v is a regular function on U such that

$$v(P) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ e_0(P) & e_1(P) & \dots & e_r(P) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_0(P)^r & e_1(P)^r & \dots & e_r(P)^r \end{vmatrix} \prod_{i=0}^r u_i(P).$$

Since the $e_i(P)$ are distinct, the Van der Monde determinant is nonzero. So $v(P) \neq 0$, and hence $w(\beta, \sigma, dt)$ vanishes at P with order $e(P)$.

Since the above reasoning is valid for every simple point P of C , it follows that the section w of Subsection 2.3 has finitely many zeros. In particular, if C is nonsingular, then $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is indeed a divisor. Furthermore, since for each $P \in C$ the multiplicity of $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ at P is $e(P)$, the cycle associated to $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is $R(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$.

3. SINGULAR CURVES

3.1. The fundamental class. Let C be a curve over an algebraically closed field k . Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the sheaf of meromorphic differentials of C . Given a point $P \in C$, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_P = \prod_{i=1}^m \Omega_{K_i/k}^1,$$

where $K_1, \dots, K_m$ are the fields of functions of the irreducible components of C containing P .

Let ω_C denote Rosenlicht's sheaf of regular differentials of C ; see [7], Section 5.2, p. 226. It is the subsheaf of $\mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following property: A meromorphic differential τ is in $\omega_{C,P}$ for $P \in C$ if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \text{Res}_{Q_i}(f\tau) = 0$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, where $Q_1, \dots, Q_m$ are the points on the normalization of C mapping to P . From its defining property, ω_C contains those meromorphic differentials arising from Kähler differentials of C . Then there is a natural map $\gamma: \Omega_C^1 \rightarrow \omega_C$, called the *fundamental class*. (See [34], p. 39, for a justification of the name.) From the defining property of ω_C , this map is an isomorphism on the nonsingular locus of C .

It follows from [7], Thm. 5.2.3, p. 230, that ω_C is a dualizing sheaf for C . Thus, if C is Gorenstein, that is, if the local rings $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ are Gorenstein for all $P \in C$, then it follows from [21], Prop. 9.3, p. 296, that ω_C is invertible. (Rosenlicht's own proofs of these two results are [38], Thm. 8, p. 177 and Thm. 10, p. 179, respectively, for irreducible curves; see comments on Section 4 of loc. cit., especially on p. 185, for the case of reducible curves.)

3.2. Torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves. Let C be a curve of arithmetic genus g . Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a coherent sheaf on C . We say that $\mathcal{I}$ is *torsion-free* if the generic points of C are its only associated points. Also, $\mathcal{I}$ is said to be *rank-1* if $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible on a dense open subset of C . Putting it in different words, $\mathcal{I}$ is torsion-free, rank-1 if it is isomorphic to a sheaf of fractional ideals, that is, a coherent subsheaf of the sheaf of rational functions which is everywhere nonzero.

Invertible sheaves are torsion-free, rank-1. Conversely, torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves are invertible on the nonsingular locus of C .

Assume $\mathcal{I}$ is torsion-free, rank-1. The *degree* of $\mathcal{I}$ is denoted by $\deg \mathcal{I}$ and defined by

$$\deg \mathcal{I} := \chi(\mathcal{I}) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = h^0(C, \mathcal{I}) - h^1(C, \mathcal{I}) + g - n,$$

where n is the number of connected components of C . If $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible, then $\deg \mathcal{I}$ is the usual degree, by Riemann–Roch.

If $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf, then

$$(3.2.1) \quad \deg \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{L} = \deg \mathcal{I} + \deg \mathcal{L}.$$

Indeed, $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ is torsion-free, rank-1. Also, if P is a simple point of C , $\deg \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(P) = \chi(\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(P)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = \chi(\mathcal{I}) + 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = \deg \mathcal{I} + 1$. Since $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(\sum_i \pm P_i)$, where the P_i are simple points of C , Equation (3.2.1) follows from applying the last equation repeatedly.

From its definition, ω_C is torsion-free, rank-1. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{I}$ has depth 1 at every point of C , it follows from [2], (6.5.3), p. 96, that

$$(3.2.2) \quad \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) = 0.$$

Thus the spectral sequence associated to the composition of functors $\text{Hom}(-, \omega_C)$ and $\Gamma(C, -)$ degenerates to yield

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) = H^1(C, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)).$$

It follows that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)$ satisfies “duality properties” with respect to $\mathcal{I}$. More precisely,

$$h^0(C, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)) = \dim_k \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) = h^1(C, \mathcal{I})$$

and

$$h^1(C, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)) = \dim_k \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) = h^0(C, \mathcal{I}).$$

In particular,

$$(3.2.3) \quad \chi(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)) = -\chi(\mathcal{I}).$$

Equation (3.2.3) implies that the natural map

$$\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C), \omega_C)$$

is an isomorphism. Indeed, it is an isomorphism on the nonsingular locus of C , where $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible, whence injective with cokernel supported on a finite set. And the cokernel is zero because its Euler characteristic is zero, since

$$\chi(\text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C), \omega_C)) = -\chi(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)) = \chi(\mathcal{I}).$$

It follows from (3.2.3) as well that

$$\deg \omega_C = \chi(\omega_C) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = -2\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = 2g - 2n.$$

Also, if C is Gorenstein, then ω_C is invertible, and thus

$$(3.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C) &= \deg \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) - \deg \omega_C \\ &= \chi(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C)) - \chi(\omega_C) \\ &= -\chi(\mathcal{I}) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) \\ &= -\deg \mathcal{I}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from (3.2.1) and the natural isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C) \otimes \omega_C$.

4. LINEAR SYSTEMS ON SINGULAR CURVES

4.1. Weierstrass divisors. Keep the setup of Subsection 2.2. In particular, assume that $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is a strongly nondegenerate linear system.

If C is singular then Ω_C^1 is not invertible, and hence the reasoning in Subsections 2.3 and 2.4 cannot be directly applied everywhere.

However, assume C is Gorenstein, and let $\gamma: \Omega_C^1 \rightarrow \omega_C$ be the fundamental class. The curve C can be covered by open subschemes U for which $\omega_C|_U$ is trivial. For such a U , let $\mu \in \Gamma(U, \omega_C)$ be a section generating $\omega_C|_U$. Then there is a k -linear derivation ∂ of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ such that $\gamma df = \partial f \mu$ for each regular function f on U .

We can now reason exactly as in Subsection 2.3, to obtain the zero scheme of a global section w of

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}.$$

Denote this zero scheme by $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$. Since γ is an isomorphism on the nonsingular locus of C , the reasoning in Subsection 2.4 can be applied. In particular, it follows that $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is a divisor. And the multiplicity of $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ at a simple $P \in C$ is $e(P)$, as defined in Subsection 2.2.

We call w a *Wronskian* and $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ the *Weierstrass divisor* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$. Since ω_C has degree $2g - 2n$, where n is the number of connected components of C , Plücker formula (2.3.1) holds.

4.2. Generalized linear systems. Let C be a curve and $\mathcal{I}$ a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C .

There is an injection $\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ be an ample invertible sheaf on C . Then, for m sufficiently large, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)(m)$ is generated by global sections. In particular, it has a global section which is nonzero at the (finitely many) generic points of C . This section corresponds to an injection $\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(m)$. (Notice that, since C is reduced and $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are rank-1, any injection $\mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is generically an isomorphism.)

Let $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I})$ be a map of vector spaces. Set $d := \deg \mathcal{I}$ and $r := \dim V - 1$. We say that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is a (*generalized*) *linear system* of *degree* d and *rank* r . As in Subsection 2.2, we say that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is *nondegenerate* if $r \geq 0$ and ϵ is injective.

For any subcurve $Y \subseteq C$, that is, any reduced union of irreducible components of C , let $\mathcal{I}^Y$ denote the restriction $\mathcal{I}|_Y$ modulo torsion. In other words, let $\mathcal{I}^Y$ be the image of the natural map

$$\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{I}_{\xi_i},$$

where $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m$ are the generic points of Y . We say that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is *strongly nondegenerate* if for each irreducible component $Y \subseteq C$ the composition

$$V \longrightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{I}^Y)$$

of ϵ with the map induced by the quotient map $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^Y$ is injective.

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an injection into an invertible sheaf. Let ϵ' be the composition

$$V \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\varphi)} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}).$$

Since φ is generically an isomorphism, the induced linear system $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')$ is (strongly) nondegenerate if and only if $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is.

4.3. Weierstrass cycles. Let C be a Gorenstein curve of arithmetic genus g over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C and $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I})$ a map of vector spaces. Assume $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is a strongly nondegenerate linear system. Let r denote its rank and d its degree.

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an injection into an invertible sheaf. Let ϵ' be the composition

$$V \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(\varphi)} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L}).$$

Also, let $Y \subseteq C$ be the closed subscheme such that $\text{Im}(\varphi) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C} \mathcal{L}$. Since φ is generically an isomorphism, Y is finite.

Define the *Weierstrass cycle* of $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ by

$$R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) := [W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')] - (r+1)[Y].$$

By Proposition 4.5 below, the cycle $R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ does not depend on the choice of injection φ .

The Plücker formula holds for $R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$, that is,

$$(4.3.1) \quad \deg R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) = (r+1)(d + r(g-n)),$$

where n is the number of connected components of C . Indeed, from the usual Plücker formula (2.3.1), we get

$$(4.3.2) \quad \deg R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) = (r+1)(d' + r(g-n)) - (r+1) \deg[Y],$$

where $d' := \deg \mathcal{L}$. However, since $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible, the additiveness of the Euler characteristic yields $\chi(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\mathcal{I}) + \deg[Y]$. Using this equation in (4.3.2) we get (4.3.1).

Lemma 4.4. *Let C be a curve, $\mathcal{I}$ a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C and $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $\psi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ injections into invertible sheaves. Then there are an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ and injections $\lambda: \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\mu: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $\lambda\varphi = \mu\psi$.*

Proof. Let $U \subseteq C$ be an open dense subscheme such that $\mathcal{I}|_U$, $\mathcal{L}|_U$ and $\mathcal{M}|_U$ are trivial, and let σ , τ and v , respectively, be sections over U generating these restrictions. Then there are regular functions a and b on U such that $\varphi(\sigma) = a\tau$ and $\psi(\sigma) = bv$. Since φ and ψ are injections, a and b have finitely many zeros on U .

Define maps $\lambda': \mathcal{L}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ and $\mu': \mathcal{M}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U$ by setting $\lambda'(\tau) = b$ and $\mu'(v) = a$. Since a and b have finitely many zeros on U , the maps λ' and μ' are injective. And clearly $\lambda'\varphi|_U = \mu'\psi|_U$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ be an ample sheaf on C . Since $C - U$ is finite, there are an integer ℓ and a global section f of $\mathcal{O}_C(\ell)$, nonzero on every irreducible component of C , such that the open subscheme

$$C_f := \{P \in C \mid f(P) \neq 0\}$$

is contained in U . Since C_f is also dense in C , we may assume that $U = C_f$; and that $\ell = 1$.

View λ' as a section of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ over U . There are an integer m and a global section λ of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{O}_C)(m)$ such that $\lambda|_U = \lambda' \otimes f^{\otimes m}$. We may view λ as a map $\mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(m)$, which is on U the composition of λ' with the multiplication by $f^{\otimes m}$. Then λ is an injection because λ' is and U is dense in C .

Likewise, there are an integer n and an injection $\mu: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(n)$ whose restriction to U is the composition of μ' with the multiplication by $f^{\otimes n}$. Up to replacing m and n by $\max(m, n)$, we may assume that $m = n$.

Set $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{O}_C(m)$. Since $\lambda'\varphi|_U = \mu'\psi|_U$, the compositions $\lambda\varphi$ and $\mu\psi$ agree on U . Since U is dense in C , they agree everywhere. $\square$

Proposition 4.5. *Let C be a Gorenstein curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ be a strongly nondegenerate (generalized) linear system of C . Then the cycle $R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ does not depend on the choice of injection of $\mathcal{I}$ into an invertible sheaf.*

Proof. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $\psi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be injections into invertible sheaves. By Lemma 4.4, there are an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ and injections $\lambda: \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and $\mu: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $\lambda\varphi = \mu\psi$. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')$, $(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon'')$ and $(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon''')$ be the induced linear systems.

Let r be the rank of $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$. Let T , X , Y and Z be the closed subschemes of C such that

$$\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{T/C}\mathcal{L}, \quad \psi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{X/C}\mathcal{M}, \quad \lambda(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C}\mathcal{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{I}_{Z/C}\mathcal{N}.$$

Since $\lambda\varphi = \mu\psi$, we have $\mathcal{I}_{T/C}\mathcal{I}_{Y/C} = \mathcal{I}_{X/C}\mathcal{I}_{Z/C}$. Thus, since Y and Z are divisors,

$$(4.5.3) \quad [T] + [Y] = [X] + [Z].$$

Furthermore, given regular functions $f, f_0, \dots, f_r$ on an open subscheme U of C , and a k -linear derivation ∂ of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$, the multilinearity of the determinant and the product rule of derivations yield

$$\begin{vmatrix} ff_0 & \dots & ff_r \\ \partial(ff_0) & \dots & \partial(ff_r) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^r(ff_0) & \dots & \partial^r(ff_r) \end{vmatrix} = f^{r+1} \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \dots & f_r \\ \partial(f_0) & \dots & \partial(f_r) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^r(f_0) & \dots & \partial^r(f_r) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$(4.5.4) \quad W(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon''') = W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon') + (r+1)Y = W(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon'') + (r+1)Z.$$

Finally, combining (4.5.3) and (4.5.4),

$$\begin{aligned} [W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')] - (r+1)[T] &= [W(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon''')] - (r+1)([Y] + [T]) \\ &= [W(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon''')] - (r+1)([X] + [Z]) \\ &= [W(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon'')] - (r+1)[X]. \end{aligned}$$

□

5. COMPARISONS UNDER BIRATIONAL MAPS

5.1. Birational maps. Let $b: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$ be a birational map between curves. Let $b^\#: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ denote the comorphism.

Since b is birational, $b^\#$ is injective. So we may view $\mathcal{O}_C$ inside $b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ under $b^\#$. Let

$$R_b := \left[\frac{b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}{\mathcal{O}_C} \right].$$

Also, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the *conductor ideal* of b , that is, the annihilator of $\text{Coker}(b^\#)$. Since b is birational, $\mathcal{F}$ is torsion-free, rank-1. Also, $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_C$ as well as of $b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$. In other words, $\mathcal{F} = b_*(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})$ as subsheaves of $b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$. Let $Z \subset C$ be the subscheme defined by $\mathcal{F}$ and $Z^\dagger := b^{-1}(Z)$. We call Z the *conductor scheme*. Then, since b is finite,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1.1) \quad b_*[Z^\dagger] &= b_* \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}} \right] = \left[\frac{b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}{\mathcal{F}} \right] \\ &= \left[\frac{b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}}{\mathcal{O}_C} \right] + \left[\frac{\mathcal{O}_C}{\mathcal{F}} \right] = R_b + [Z]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}^\dagger$ be the sheaves of meromorphic differentials of C and $C^\dagger$, respectively. Since b is birational, there is a natural isomorphism $b_*\mathcal{M}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}$. From the definition of regular differentials in Subsection 4.1, it follows that this isomorphism carries $b_*\omega_{C^\dagger}$ into ω_C . Let

$\iota: b_*\omega_{C^\dagger} \rightarrow \omega_C$ denote the induced inclusion. Then we get the following natural commutative diagram:

$$(5.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_C^1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \omega_C \\ b^\# \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \iota \uparrow \\ b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger} & \xrightarrow{b_*d^\dagger} & b_*\Omega_{C^\dagger}^1 & \xrightarrow{b_*\gamma^\dagger} & b_*\omega_{C^\dagger} \end{array}$$

where d and $d^\dagger$ are the universal derivations, γ and $\gamma^\dagger$ are the fundamental classes, and α is the adjoint to the natural pullback map of differentials.

Assume now that C is Gorenstein in a neighborhood of Z . We claim that $R_b = [Z]$, and thus, by (5.1.1),

$$(5.1.3) \quad b_*[Z^\dagger] = 2R_b.$$

The claim follows from local duality. It follows as well from global duality, as we explain now. First of all, $b = b_1b_2 \cdots b_m$, where each b_i is birational, with conductor scheme Z_i supported at a single point P_i , and such that $(b_i \cdots b_{j-1})(P_j) \neq P_i$ for each i and j with $i < j$. Since it is enough to show that $R_{b_i} = [Z_i]$ for each i , we may assume, to prove the claim, that Z is supported at a single point. Since Z and R_b have the same support, we need only show that $\deg R_b = \deg[Z]$. But

$$(5.1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \deg[Z] &= \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) - \chi(\mathcal{F}) \\ &= \chi(\omega_C) - \chi(\mathcal{F}\omega_C) \\ &= \chi(\omega_C) - \chi(\text{Hom}(b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}, \omega_C)) \\ &= -\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) + \chi(b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}) \\ &= \deg R_b, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds because ω_C is invertible in a neighborhood of Z , the third because $\mathcal{F} = \text{Hom}(b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}, \mathcal{O}_C)$, and the fourth by (global) duality.

Furthermore, we claim that

$$(5.1.5) \quad \iota(b_*\omega_{C^\dagger}) = \mathcal{F}\omega_C.$$

Indeed, $\iota(b_*\omega_{C^\dagger}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}\omega_C$ because ω_C is invertible in a neighborhood of Z , and hence $\mathcal{F}\omega_C$ is the maximum subsheaf of ω_C which is a sheaf of $b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ -modules. Furthermore,

$$\chi(\omega_C) - \chi(\mathcal{F}\omega_C) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = \chi(\omega_C) - \chi(b_*\omega_{C^\dagger}),$$

where the first equality follows from (5.1.4) and the second by duality and the finiteness of b . Thus, since the source and target of the inclusion

$b_*\omega_{C^\dagger} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}\omega_C$ have the same Euler characteristic, and the quotient has finite support, (5.1.5) follows.

5.2. Generalized linear systems and birational maps. Keep the setup of Subsection 5.1.

For each torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf $\mathcal{I}$ on C , let $\mathcal{I}^b$ denote $b^*\mathcal{I}$ modulo torsion. Then there is a natural injection $h_{\mathcal{I}}^b: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow b_*\mathcal{I}^b$. We let $R_b(\mathcal{I})$ denote the cycle associated to $\text{Coker}(h_{\mathcal{I}}^b)$.

Notice that $h_{\mathcal{O}_C}^b$ is simply the comorphism of b . So $R_b = R_b(\mathcal{O}_C)$. Also, if $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible then so is $b^*\mathcal{I}$, and hence $\mathcal{I}^b = b^*\mathcal{I}$. Moreover, $h_{\mathcal{I}}^b = h_{\mathcal{O}_C}^b \otimes \mathcal{I}$, and thus $R_b(\mathcal{I}) = R_b$.

Let $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I})$ be a map of vector spaces. Then $(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger)$ is a (generalized) linear system, where $\epsilon^\dagger$ is the composition

$$V \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I}) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(h_{\mathcal{I}}^b)} \Gamma(C, b_*\mathcal{I}^b) \xrightarrow{=} \Gamma(C^\dagger, \mathcal{I}^b).$$

We say that $(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger)$ is *induced* by $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$. Since C is birational, $h_{\mathcal{I}}^b$ is generically an isomorphism. Thus, if $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is (strongly) nondegenerate, so is $(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger)$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $b: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$ be a birational map between Gorenstein curves over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ be a (generalized) linear system of rank r on C , and $(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger)$ the induced system on $C^\dagger$. Then*

$$R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) - b_*R(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger) = (r+1)^2R_b - (r+1)R_b(\mathcal{I}).$$

Proof. Keep the notations of Subsections 5.1 and 5.2. Assume first that $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible. Set $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{I}$. Then we need to prove that

$$(5.3.1) \quad R(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon) - b_*R(b^*\mathcal{L}, \epsilon^\dagger) = (r+1)rR_b.$$

Since C and $C^\dagger$ are Gorenstein, it follows from (5.1.5) that $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}$ is invertible. In other words, $Z^\dagger$ is an effective divisor. Furthermore, C can be covered by affine open subschemes U for which $\omega_C|_U$, $\mathcal{L}|_U$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}|_{U^\dagger}$ are trivial, where $U^\dagger := b^{-1}(U)$. For each such U , let μ and h be generators of $\omega_C|_U$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}|_{U^\dagger}$, respectively. Set $\mu^\dagger = h\mu$. Then (5.1.5) implies that $\mu^\dagger$ is a generator of $\omega_{C^\dagger}|_{U^\dagger}$. Let ∂ (resp. $\partial^\dagger$) be the k -linear derivations of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ (resp. $\Gamma(U^\dagger, \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})$) such that $\gamma df = \partial f \mu$ for each regular function f on U (resp. $\gamma^\dagger d^\dagger f = \partial^\dagger f \mu^\dagger$ for each regular function f on $U^\dagger$). It follows from the commutativity of Diagram (5.1.2) that $\partial f = h\partial^\dagger f$ for each regular function f on U .

Let σ be a generator for $\mathcal{L}|_U$. Fix a basis $\beta := (v_0, \dots, v_r)$ of V . Then there are regular functions $f_0, \dots, f_r$ on U such that $\epsilon(v_i)|_U = f_i\sigma$ for

each $i = 0, \dots, r$. Then $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is defined on U by the zero scheme of

$$w := \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \dots & f_r \\ (h\partial^\dagger)f_0 & \dots & (h\partial^\dagger)f_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (h\partial^\dagger)^r f_0 & \dots & (h\partial^\dagger)^r f_r \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using the multilinearity of the determinant and the product rule for derivations, we get that

$$w = h^{\binom{r+1}{2}} w', \quad \text{where} \quad w' := \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \dots & f_r \\ \partial^\dagger f_0 & \dots & \partial^\dagger f_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (\partial^\dagger)^r f_0 & \dots & (\partial^\dagger)^r f_r \end{vmatrix}.$$

But w' is exactly the regular function defining the Weierstrass divisor of the induced linear system $(b^*\mathcal{L}, \epsilon^\dagger)$ on $U^\dagger$. It follows that

$$b^*W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon) = W(b^*\mathcal{L}, \epsilon^\dagger) + \binom{r+1}{2} Z^\dagger.$$

Now, since $W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is a Cartier divisor of C , we have that

$$b_*[b^*W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)] = [W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)].$$

Thus, using (5.1.3), we get

$$[W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)] - b_*[W(b^*\mathcal{L}, \epsilon^\dagger)] = \binom{r+1}{2} b_*[Z^\dagger] = (r+1)rR_b,$$

proving (5.3.1).

We will now tackle the general case, where $\mathcal{I}$ is not assumed invertible. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an injection into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. Then φ induces an injection $\varphi^\dagger: \mathcal{I}^b \hookrightarrow b^*\mathcal{L}$. Clearly, we have a commutative diagram of injections:

$$(5.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{I}}^b} & b_*\mathcal{I}^b \\ \varphi \downarrow & & b_*\varphi^\dagger \downarrow \\ \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{h_{\mathcal{L}}^b} & b_*b^*\mathcal{L}. \end{array}$$

Let Y be the closed subscheme of C such that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C}\mathcal{L}$. Let $Y^\dagger := b^{-1}(Y)$. Then $\varphi^\dagger(\mathcal{I}^b) = \mathcal{I}_{Y^\dagger/C^\dagger}b^*\mathcal{L}$. Now,

$$b_*[Y^\dagger] = b_*[\text{Coker}(\varphi^\dagger)] = [\text{Coker}(b_*\varphi^\dagger)].$$

Thus, from the commutativity of (5.3.2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.3.3) \quad b_*[Y^\dagger] - [Y] &= [\text{Coker}(b_*\varphi^\dagger)] - [\text{Coker}(\varphi)] \\
 &= [\text{Coker}(h_{\mathcal{L}}^b)] - [\text{Coker}(h_{\mathcal{I}}^b)] \\
 &= R_b - R_b(\mathcal{I}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the definitions of the Weierstrass cycles we have:

$$R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) - b_*R(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger) = R(\mathcal{L}, \delta) - b_*R(b^*\mathcal{L}, \delta^\dagger) + (r+1)(b_*[Y^\dagger] - [Y]),$$

where $\delta := \Gamma(\varphi)\epsilon$ and $\delta^\dagger := \Gamma(\varphi^\dagger)\epsilon^\dagger$. Thus, using (5.3.1) and (5.3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) - b_*R(\mathcal{I}^b, \epsilon^\dagger) &= (r+1)rR_b + (r+1)(R_b - R_b(\mathcal{I})) \\
 &= (r+1)^2R_b - (r+1)R_b(\mathcal{I}).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.4. Formula (5.3.1), a special case of Proposition 5.3, was obtained in [18], Prop. 1.6 , p. 4845.

6. THE INTRINSIC WEIERSTRASS SCHEME

Proposition 6.1. *Let C be a Gorenstein curve. Let $b: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$ be a birational map of curves and $\mathcal{F}$ its conductor ideal. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C such that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)^b$ is invertible. Then there are an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on C and an injection $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) \supseteq \mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)^b$ is invertible, for each $P \in C$ there is an element $s_P \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)_P$ such that

$$s_P(b_*\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})_P = b_*(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)^b)_P.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the subsheaf of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ such that $\mathcal{M}_P = s_P\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ for each $P \in C$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is an invertible subsheaf of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ satisfying $b^*\mathcal{M} = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)^b$. So, the natural injection $h_{\mathcal{M}}^b: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow b_*b^*\mathcal{M}$ extends to an injection $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow b_*b^*\mathcal{M}$. (This argument appeared in the proof of [17], Lemma 4.2, p. 5975.)

Since C is Gorenstein,

$$\mathcal{I} = \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C), \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Thus, taking duals in the inclusion $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C)$, we obtain an injection $\mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ whose image contains the image of $\text{Hom}(h_{\mathcal{M}}^b, \mathcal{O}_C)$. But, since $\mathcal{M}$ is invertible, $h_{\mathcal{M}}^b = b^\# \otimes \mathcal{M}$, where $b^\#$ is the comorphism to b . Thus, the image of $\text{Hom}(h_{\mathcal{M}}^b, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is

$$\text{Im}(\text{Hom}(b^\#, \mathcal{O}_C))\text{Hom}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

And the image of $\text{Hom}(b^\#, \mathcal{O}_C)$ is $\mathcal{F}$. $\square$

6.2. Wronskians. Let C be a Gorenstein curve over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, and denote by ω_C its sheaf of regular differentials. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C , and $\epsilon: V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I})$ be a map of vector spaces. Assume $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is strongly nondegenerate, and let r denote its rank.

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an injection into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. It induces a map $\varphi^{\otimes n}: \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ for each integer $n > 0$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ is torsion-free, this map factors through an injection $\varphi^n: \mathcal{I}^n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathcal{I}^n$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ modulo torsion.

Let $\epsilon': V \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{L})$ be the composition of ϵ with $\Gamma(\varphi)$. Let w be a Wronskian of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')$, a global section of $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}$. We claim that w factors through

$$\varphi^{r+1} \otimes 1: \mathcal{I}^{r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}},$$

yielding a global section of $\mathcal{I}^{r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}$. We call this section a *Wronskian* of $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$.

To prove the claim, observe first that we may replace φ by the composition $\lambda\varphi$, for any injection $\lambda: \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ into any invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$, since the composition of w with the induced map,

$$\lambda^{\otimes r+1} \otimes 1: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}},$$

is a Wronskian of the induced $(\mathcal{N}, \epsilon'')$, where ϵ'' is the composition of ϵ' with $\Gamma(\lambda)$. In particular, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that the claimed factorization of w occurs in general if it occurs for a particular injection φ . Also, it follows that the induced global section of $\mathcal{I}^{r+1} \otimes \omega_C^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}$ does not depend on the choice of φ ; in other words, a Wronskian is well-defined modulo multiplication by k^* .

Thus, by Proposition 6.1, we may assume that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) \supseteq \mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is the conductor ideal of the normalization map $b: C^\dagger \rightarrow C$. We proceed now as in the proof of Proposition 5.3. More precisely, cover C by affine open subschemes U such that $\omega_C|_U$, $\mathcal{L}|_U$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}|_{U^\dagger}$ are trivial, where $U^\dagger := b^{-1}(U)$. Let μ and h be generators of $\omega_C|_U$ and $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger}|_{U^\dagger}$, respectively. Let ∂ be the k -linear derivation of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ such that $\gamma df = \partial f \mu$ for each regular function f on U . Then $\partial f = h \partial^\dagger f$ for each regular function f on U , where $\partial^\dagger$ is a k -linear derivation of $\Gamma(U^\dagger, \mathcal{O}_{C^\dagger})$.

Let σ be a generator for $\mathcal{L}|_U$. Let $I \subseteq \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_C)$ be the ideal such that $\Gamma(U, \varphi(\mathcal{I})) = I\sigma$. Fix a basis $\beta := (v_0, \dots, v_r)$ of V . Then there are $f_0, \dots, f_r \in I$ such that $\varphi\epsilon(s_i)|_U = f_i\sigma$ for each $i = 0, \dots, r$.

With the trivializations taken above, a wronskian of $(\mathcal{L}, \Gamma(\varphi)\epsilon)$ can be identified with

$$u := \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \cdots & f_r \\ (h\partial^\dagger)f_0 & \cdots & (h\partial^\dagger)f_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (h\partial^\dagger)^r f_0 & \cdots & (h\partial^\dagger)^r f_r \end{vmatrix}.$$

We need to show that $u \in I^{r+1}$. Now, if f is a regular function on U , then, by composition, f is also regular on $U^\dagger$; hence $h\partial^\dagger f \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$, and in particular, $h\partial^\dagger f \in I$. Thus, all the entries of the matrix above are elements of I , and hence $w \in I^{r+1}$.

6.3. Zero schemes. Let C be a curve over an algebraically closed field k . Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a coherent sheaf on C and s a global section of $\mathcal{I}$.

We may view s as a map $\sigma: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. Taking duals, we obtain a map $\sigma^*: \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ whose image is the sheaf of ideals of a closed subscheme of C , which we denote by Z_s . If s is generically nonzero, then the dual map has finite cokernel, that is, Z_s is finite. We call Z_s the *zero scheme* of s . If $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible, then Z_s is the usual zero scheme.

Assume C is Gorenstein and $\mathcal{I}$ is torsion-free, rank-1. We claim that

$$\deg[Z_s] = \deg \mathcal{I}.$$

Indeed, $[Z_s] = [\text{Coker}(\sigma^*)]$. Let ω_C be the sheaf of regular differentials of C . Since

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C) = \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \omega_C) \otimes \omega_C^{-1} = 0,$$

where the first equality holds because ω_C is invertible and the second by (3.2.2), we have that

$$\text{Coker}(\sigma^*) = \text{Ext}^1(\text{Coker}(\sigma), \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Now, for any coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on C with finite support,

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_C) = \text{Ext}^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_C) = 0$$

by local duality. Since any such $\mathcal{G}$ can be viewed as an extension of a skyscraper sheaf of length 1 by a sheaf of smaller length, and since $\text{Ext}^1(k, \mathcal{O}_{C,P}) \cong k$ for each $P \in C$, because C is Gorenstein, it follows that

$$[\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_C)] = [\mathcal{G}].$$

Then

$$(6.3.1) \quad [\text{Coker}(\sigma^*)] = [\text{Coker}(\sigma)].$$

Finally, $\deg[\text{Coker}(\sigma)] = \deg \mathcal{I}$, proving the claim.

6.4. The intrinsic Weierstrass scheme. Keep the setup of Subsection 6.2. Since $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is strongly nondegenerate, a Wronskian is generically nonzero, and hence its zero scheme is a finite subscheme of C whose associated cycle has degree

$$\deg \mathcal{I}^{r+1} + (r+1)r(g-n),$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{r+1}$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes r+1}$ modulo torsion, and n is the number of connected components of C . We denote this subscheme by $Z(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ and call it the *intrinsic Weierstrass scheme* of $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$.

6.5. The n -th defect. Let C be a curve and $\mathcal{I}$ a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on C . Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an injection into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. Let $Y \subset C$ be the subscheme such that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C} \mathcal{L}$. For each integer $n > 0$, let $\varphi^n: \mathcal{I}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ be the induced injection, where $\mathcal{I}^n$ is $\mathcal{I}^{\otimes n}$ modulo torsion. Then $\text{Im}(\varphi^n) = \mathcal{I}_{Y^n/C}^n \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$. We let $Y^n \subset C$ be the closed subscheme defined by $\mathcal{I}_{Y^n/C}^n$, or equivalently, by the property that $\varphi^n(\mathcal{I}^n) = \mathcal{I}_{Y^n/C}^n \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$. Set

$$\Delta^n(\mathcal{I}) := [Y^n] - n[Y].$$

We call $\Delta^n(\mathcal{I})$ the n -th defect of $\mathcal{I}$. Of course, the Y^n are divisors and $Y^n = nY$ where $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible. Thus the n -th defect is supported on the singular locus of C .

Given an injection $\lambda: \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}$ into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$, let Z be the subscheme of C such that $\lambda(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{I}_{Z/C} \mathcal{N}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are invertible, Z is an effective divisor. Thus

$$(\lambda\varphi)^n(\mathcal{I}) = \lambda^{\otimes n} \varphi^n(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y^n/C}^n \mathcal{I}_{Z/C}^n \mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}.$$

The subscheme given by $\mathcal{I}_{Y^n/C}^n \mathcal{I}_{Z/C}^n$ has associated cycle $[Y^n] + n[Z]$. Thus, using Lemma 4.4, it follows that $\Delta^n(\mathcal{I})$ does not depend on the choice of injection φ .

Proposition 6.6. *Let C be a Gorenstein curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ a strongly nondegenerate (generalized) linear system of rank r on C . Then*

$$R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) = [Z(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)] + \Delta^{r+1}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be an injection into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. Let $Y \subset C$ be the subscheme such that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C} \mathcal{L}$. Let $Y^{r+1} \subset C$ be defined by $\mathcal{I}_{Y^{r+1}/C}^{r+1}$. Then

$$[Z(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)] = [W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)] - \left[\frac{\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}_{Y^{r+1}/C}, \mathcal{O}_C)}{\mathcal{O}_C} \right] = [W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)] - [Y^{r+1}],$$

where the last equality follows from (6.3.1). Since

$$R(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon) = [W(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)] - (r+1)[Y],$$

the statement follows. $\square$

7. FAMILIES

7.1. Families of curves and sheaves. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a projective, flat map whose geometric fibers are curves. We call π a *family of curves*.

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a coherent sheaf on C which is flat over S , and restricts to a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf on every geometric fiber of π . We call $\mathcal{I}$ a *family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves* along π . Of course, an invertible sheaf on C is a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . We say that $\mathcal{I}$ has *relative degree* d if the restriction of $\mathcal{I}$ to each geometric fiber of π has degree d . By flatness, if S is connected, then $\mathcal{I}$ has a relative degree. For each geometric point s of S , set $\mathcal{I}(s) := \mathcal{I}|_{C(s)}$.

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of Gorenstein curves, $\mathcal{I}$ a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π and $\mathcal{L}$ an invertible sheaf on C . Then $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$ is a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . Furthermore, if s is a geometric point of S then the natural map*

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})(s) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{L}(s))$$

is an isomorphism. Also, if $\mathcal{I}$ has relative degree d and $\mathcal{L}$ has relative degree e , then $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$ has relative degree $e - d$.

Proof. As pointed out in Subsection 3.2, namely (3.2.2),

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}(s), \omega_{C(s)}) = 0$$

for every geometric point s of S , where $\omega_{C(s)}$ is the sheaf of regular differentials of $C(s)$. Since $C(s)$ is Gorenstein, $\omega_{C(s)}$ is invertible, and thus

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{L}(s)) = \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \omega_{C(s)}) \otimes \omega_{C(s)}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}(s) = 0.$$

Hence $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ by [2], Thm. 1.10, p. 61. It follows now from [2], Thm. 1.9, p. 59, that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$ is flat over S and the natural map

$$(7.2.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})(s) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{L}(s))$$

is an isomorphism for every geometric point s of S . Thus $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$ is a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . Finally, since

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{L}(s)) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{O}_{C(s)}) \otimes \mathcal{L}(s),$$

the last statement of the proposition follows from the isomorphism (7.2.1) and Equations (3.2.1) and (3.2.4). $\square$

7.3. Zero schemes, II. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of Gorenstein curves. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves of relative degree d along f , and s a global section of $\mathcal{I}$.

As in Subsection 6.3, the section s corresponds to a map $\sigma: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$. The image of its dual, $\sigma^*: \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$, is a sheaf of ideals of a closed subscheme of C , which we denote by Z_s . It follows from Proposition 7.2 that the formation of Z_s commutes with base change. So, if s is nonzero at every generic point of every geometric fiber of π , then σ^* is injective with S -flat cokernel; in other words, Z_s is flat over S of relative length d .

Lemma 7.4. *Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of Gorenstein curves and $\mathcal{I}$ a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . Then, for each $s \in S$ whose residue field $\kappa(s)$ is infinite, there are an open neighborhood U of s in S and an injection $\mathcal{I}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ with S -flat cokernel, where $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf on $\pi^{-1}(U)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_C(1)$ be a relatively ample sheaf on C over S . For each integer m sufficiently large, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{O}_C(m)(s))$ is generated by global sections and the base-change map

$$(7.4.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C(m))(s) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C(s), \text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{O}_C(m))(s))$$

is surjective. Pick such m . Since $\kappa(s)$ is infinite, there is a section of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{I}(s), \mathcal{O}_C(m)(s))$ which is nonzero at every generic point of $C(s)$. This section corresponds to an injection $\mathcal{I}(s) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(m)(s)$. Since (7.2.1) is an isomorphism for $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_C(m)$, and (7.4.1) is surjective, up to replacing S by an open neighborhood of s , we may assume that the injection lifts to a map $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(m)$. Since $\varphi(s)$ is injective, up to replacing S by an open neighborhood of s , it follows that φ is injective with S -flat cokernel. $\square$

Proposition 7.5. *Let S be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with infinite residue field. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of Gorenstein curves and $\mathcal{I}$ a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . If the restriction of $\mathcal{I}$ to the generic fiber of π is invertible, then the n -th defect of the restriction of $\mathcal{I}$ to each geometric fiber of π is nonnegative, for each integer $n > 0$.*

Proof. Let u be the generic point and s the special point of S . Of course, since $\mathcal{I}$ is invertible on $C(u)$, the restriction of $\mathcal{I}$ to any field extension of $C(u)$ has defect 0.

By Lemma 7.4, there is an injection $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ with S -flat cokernel into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. Let $Y \subset C$ be the closed subscheme such that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C}\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible, Y is flat over S .

For each integer $n > 0$ consider the induced map $\varphi^{\otimes n}: \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$. Since S is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring, there is a surjection $\rho: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ onto an S -flat coherent sheaf $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$(7.5.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{\otimes n}} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a complex which is exact on $C(u)$. Let $Z \subset C$ be the closed subscheme such that $\mathcal{I}_{Z/C} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} = \text{Ker}(\rho)$. Since $\varphi(s)$ is generically bijective, Z is finite over S . Also, since $\mathcal{H}$ is S -flat, so is Z .

Notice that, since (7.5.2) is exact on $C(u)$ and $\mathcal{I}(u)$ is invertible, $Y(u)$ and $Z(u)$ are divisors satisfying $Z(u) = nY(u)$. It follows from [15], Prop. 3.4, that $[Z(t)] = n[Y(t)]$, for any geometric point t of S above s . Furthermore, since (7.5.2) is a complex, $Z(t) \subseteq Y_t^n$, where Y_t^n is the closed subscheme of $C(t)$ satisfying

$$\varphi(t)^{\otimes n}(\mathcal{I}(t)^{\otimes n}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y_t^n/C(t)} \mathcal{L}(t)^{\otimes n}.$$

(Equivalently, the sheaf of ideals of Y_t^n is $\mathcal{I}_{Y(t)/C(t)}^n$.) Thus

$$\Delta^n(\mathcal{I}(t)) = [Y_t^n] - n[Y(t)] \geq [Z(t)] - n[Y(t)] = 0.$$

□

8. DEGENERATIONS OF LINEAR SYSTEMS

8.1. Families of linear systems. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of curves. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π of relative degree d . Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a locally free sheaf of constant rank $r + 1$ on S , for a certain integer r , and $\epsilon: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{I}$ a map. We say that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is a *family of (generalized) linear systems* of degree d and rank r along π . The terminology is justified because for each geometric point s of S the composition

$$\epsilon_s: \mathcal{V}(s) \xrightarrow{\epsilon(s)} \pi_* \mathcal{I}(s) \longrightarrow \Gamma(C(s), \mathcal{I}(s))$$

gives rise to a (generalized) linear system of degree d and rank r on the fiber $C(s)$, where the second map is the base-change map. We call $(\mathcal{I}(s), \epsilon_s)$ the *induced (generalized) linear system* on $C(s)$. We say that $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ is *(strongly) nondegenerate* if the induced linear system on every geometric fiber of π is (strongly) nondegenerate.

8.2. The relative fundamental class. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of curves. Let $\gamma: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$ be a map to a coherent S -flat sheaf $\omega_{C/S}$ whose restriction to every geometric fiber is the fundamental class of that fiber. More precisely, γ is assumed such that, for every geometric

point s of S , there is a commutative diagram of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{C/S}^1|_{C(s)} & \xrightarrow{\gamma|_{C(s)}} & \omega_{C/S}|_{C(s)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega_{C(s)/\kappa(s)}^1 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{C(s)}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are isomorphisms, the left one canonical, and the bottom map is the fundamental class of $C(s)$. We call such a map a *relative fundamental class* of C/S .

According to [4], Thm. III.1, p. 81, there is a map $\gamma: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$, the unique one satisfying a certain trace property. Actually, the target of the map in loc. cit. is a complex, the relative dualizing complex. However, in our case the dualizing complex can be replaced by a single sheaf; see [7], Thm. 3.5.1, p. 155. The trace property, [4], Def. II.3, p. 79, asserts a certain compatibility between γ and the trace map $h_* h^* \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1$ for the sheaf of regular differentials $\Omega_{X/S}^1$ of a smooth family of curves X/S , if there is a finite and flat S -map $h: C \rightarrow X$. The analogous compatibility condition holds when one restricts to a geometric fiber, by [4], Propriété 1, p. 78. This compatibility condition is also satisfied by the fundamental class of each geometric fiber; at least, this is shown for irreducible curves in [25], Satz 5.6, p. 105. Finally, [4], Prop. II.3.1, p. 79, asserts that the trace property is satisfied by a unique map, thus showing that γ restricts to the fundamental class on each geometric fiber.

Alternatively, it is shown in [26], Thm. 5.26, p. 112, that a map $\gamma: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$ exists if S has no embedded points and can be covered by affine open subschemes whose rings of functions are Noetherian, universally Japanese and universally catenary. There is not a clear statement in [26] to the effect that γ restricts to the fundamental class on each geometric fiber, though Cor. 5.29, p. 116 and Prop. 4.36, p. 89 should imply this, at least if the geometric fibers of π are irreducible.

Also, if the geometric fibers of C/S are locally complete intersections, then the existence of a map $\gamma: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$ was pointed out in [11]. Again, it is not shown in [11] that γ restricts to the fundamental class on each geometric fiber. This can be derived from the fact that the construction given to γ commutes with base change, and from [34], Cor. 13.7, p. 114, though the latter is stated only for irreducible curves.

Finally, a map $\gamma: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$ is constructed in [3] without hypotheses on the basis S or on the fibers of π . Again, it is not clearly stated whether this γ restricts to the fundamental class on every geometric fiber of f , but [3] is a work in progress.

Since $\omega_{C/S}$ restricts to the sheaf of regular differentials on each geometric fiber, it follows that $\omega_{C/S}$ is a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . Furthermore, if the geometric fibers of π are Gorenstein, then $\omega_{C/S}$ is invertible.

8.3. Weierstrass schemes. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of Gorenstein curves. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on C , and $\epsilon: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{L}$ a map from a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ of constant rank $r+1$, for a certain integer r . Let $\gamma: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$ be a relative fundamental class.

We can associate to $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ a global section $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ of

$$(8.3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_{C/S}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}} \otimes \pi^* \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathcal{V}^*,$$

which we will call the *Wronskian* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$. Its zero scheme will be called the *Weierstrass scheme* of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$.

The Wronskian is constructed in a way similar to that of the Weierstrass divisor of Subsection 2.3, as follows. Cover S by open subschemes U such that $\mathcal{V}|_U$ is trivial, and for each such U cover $\pi^{-1}(U)$ by open subschemes U' such that $\omega_{C/S}|_{U'}$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{U'}$ are trivial. For each U , let $\beta = (v_0, \dots, v_r)$ be a basis of the free $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_S)$ -module $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{V})$. And for each U' let $\mu \in \Gamma(U', \omega_{C/S})$ generating $\omega_{C/S}|_{U'}$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma(U', \mathcal{L})$ generating $\mathcal{L}|_{U'}$. Then there is a $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_S)$ -linear derivation ∂ of $\Gamma(U', \mathcal{O}_C)$ such that $\gamma df = \partial f \mu$ for each regular function f on U' . And there are regular functions $f_0, \dots, f_n$ on U' such that $\epsilon(v_i)|_{U'} = f_i \sigma$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Form the wronskian determinant:

$$w(\beta, \sigma, \mu) := \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \dots & f_r \\ \partial f_0 & \dots & \partial f_r \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^r f_0 & \dots & \partial^r f_r \end{vmatrix}.$$

As in Subsection 2.3, the multilinearity of the determinant and the product rule of derivations imply that the $w(\beta, \sigma, \mu)$ patch to a global section $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ of the sheaf (8.3.1).

It is also clear from the above description that the Wronskian of $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ restricts to a Wronskian of $(\mathcal{L}(s), \epsilon_s)$ for each geometric point s of S , once isomorphisms $\bigwedge^{r+1} \mathcal{V}(s) \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa(s)$ and $\omega_{C/S}(s) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{C(s)}$ are chosen, the latter such that its composition with $\gamma(s)$ is the fundamental class of $C(s)$. It follows that the Weierstrass scheme of $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is a relative Cartier divisor over S , restricting to the Weierstrass divisor of $(\mathcal{L}(s), \epsilon_s)$ for each geometric point s of S , if $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$ is strongly nondegenerate.

Furthermore, functoriality holds for $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon)$: If $\varphi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a map to an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$, and $\epsilon' := (\pi_*\varphi)\epsilon$, then

$$w(\mathcal{M}, \epsilon') = (\varphi^{\otimes r+1} \otimes 1)w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon),$$

where 1 is the identity map of $\omega_{C/S}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}} \otimes \pi^* \bigwedge^{r+1} \mathcal{V}^*$.

Theorem 8.4. *Let S be the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring with algebraically closed residue field of characteristic zero. Let s and u be its special and generic points, respectively. Let $\pi: C \rightarrow S$ be a family of Gorenstein curves and $(\mathcal{I}, \epsilon)$ a family of strongly nondegenerate (generalized) linear systems along π . Assume that $\mathcal{I}(u)$ is invertible, and let $W \subset C$ be the schematic closure of the Weierstrass scheme of the linear system $(\mathcal{I}(u), \epsilon_u)$ induced on $C(u)$. Then $W(s)$ is a finite subscheme containing the intrinsic Weierstrass scheme $Z(\mathcal{I}(s), \epsilon_s)$ and whose associated cycle is $R(\mathcal{I}(s), \epsilon_s)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 7.4 there is an injection $\varphi: \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}$ into an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ whose cokernel is S -flat. Let $Y \subset C$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}_{Y/C}\mathcal{L}$. Then Y is S -flat. As in the proof of Proposition 7.5, consider the induced map $\varphi^{\otimes r+1}: \mathcal{I}^{\otimes r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1}$ and the S -flat closed subscheme $Z \subset C$ such that $\text{Im}(\varphi^{\otimes r+1}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{Z/C}\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1}$, with equality holding over u . Then $Z(s) \subseteq Y_s^{r+1}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{Y_s^{r+1}/C(s)} = \mathcal{I}_{Y(s)/C(s)}^{r+1}$. Also, since $\mathcal{I}(u)$ is invertible, $Y(u)$ is Cartier and $Z(u) = (r+1)Y(u)$.

Fix a relative fundamental class $\eta: \Omega_{C/S}^1 \rightarrow \omega_{C/S}$. Set $\epsilon: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a locally free sheaf of constant rank, say $r+1$. Consider the induced family of linear systems $(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')$, where we set $\epsilon' := \pi_*\varphi \circ \epsilon$, and its associated Wronskian $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')$. Since the restriction $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')(u)$ factors through $w(\mathcal{I}(u), \epsilon_u)$, it follows that $w(\mathcal{L}, \epsilon')$ factors through a global section w of

$$\mathcal{I}_{Z/C}\mathcal{L}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_{C/S}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}.$$

Since Z is flat over S , the above sheaf is a family of torsion-free, rank-1 sheaves along π . So, since w restricts to the Wronskian $w(\mathcal{I}(u), \epsilon_u)$, under the identification $\mathcal{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}_{Y/C}\mathcal{L}$ given by φ , it follows that the zero scheme of w is W . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} [W(s)] &= [W(\mathcal{L}(s), \epsilon'_s)] - [Z(s)] \\ &= [W(\mathcal{L}(s), \epsilon'_s)] - (r+1)[Y(s)] = R(\mathcal{I}(s), \epsilon_s), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from [15], Prop. 3.4, using that $Z(u) = (r+1)Y(u)$.

Finally, as seen in Subsection 6.2, the Wronskian $w(\mathcal{L}(s), \epsilon'_s)$ factors through a section w' of

$$\mathcal{I}_{Y(s)/C(s)}^{r+1} \mathcal{L}(s)^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_{C(s)}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}},$$

which is contained in

$$\mathcal{I}_{Z(s)/C(s)} \mathcal{L}(s)^{\otimes r+1} \otimes \omega_{C(s)}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}$$

because $Z(s) \subseteq Y_s^{r+1}$. So $w(s)$ factors through w' , and thus the zero scheme of w' is contained in that of $w(s)$. In other words, we have $W(s) \supseteq Z(\mathcal{I}(s), \epsilon_s)$ as claimed. $\square$

Remark 8.5. In the proof of Theorem 8.4, the intrinsic Weierstrass scheme is the zero scheme of a section w' of

$$\mathcal{I}(s)^{r+1} \otimes \omega_{C(s)}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}}.$$

As seen in the proof, $W(s)$ is the zero scheme of a section w obtained by composing w' with

$$\psi \otimes 1: \mathcal{I}(s)^{r+1} \otimes \omega_{C(s)}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J} \otimes \omega_{C(s)}^{\otimes \binom{r+1}{2}},$$

where $\psi: \mathcal{I}(s)^{r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is an injection into a torsion-free, rank-1 sheaf $\mathcal{J}$ satisfying $\deg \mathcal{J} = (r+1) \deg \mathcal{I}(s)$. From the proof,

$$\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{I}_{Z(s)/C(s)} \mathcal{L}(s)^{\otimes r+1}.$$

It can be shown, using a relative version of Lemma 4.4 that $\mathcal{J}$ and ψ do not depend on the choice of φ , but only on the family $\mathcal{I}$. So $W(s)$ depends only on $\mathcal{I}$. As Example 8.6 below shows, $W(s)$ does not depend only on $\mathcal{I}(s)$ and ϵ_s .

Example 8.6. Let C be an irreducible plane curve of degree e defined over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Let d be a positive integer smaller than e . For each $P \in C$, let V_P be the vector subspace of $\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(d))$ generated by the degree- d plane curves passing through P . Then

$$V_P \subseteq \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I}_{P/C}(d)).$$

Let $(\mathcal{I}_{P/C}(d), \epsilon_P)$ be the corresponding (generalized) linear system, where $\epsilon_P: V_P \rightarrow \Gamma(C, \mathcal{I}_{P/C}(d))$ denotes the inclusion. This system is (strongly) nondegenerate because $d < e$. If P is on the nonsingular locus of C , denote by $W(C, P) \subseteq C$ the Weierstrass scheme of $(\mathcal{I}_{P/C}(d), \epsilon_P)$.

For a very simple example, let C be the nodal cubic, given by the equation $f(x, y, z) = 0$, where

$$f(x, y, z) := y^2 z - x^2 z - x^3.$$

Assume $d = 1$. Let Q be the node of C , given by $x = y = 0$. Then V_Q is generated by x and y .

Consider the inclusion $\varphi: \mathcal{I}_{Q/C}(1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(1)$. The Weierstrass divisor of $(\mathcal{O}_C(1), \epsilon'_Q)$, where $\epsilon'_Q := \Gamma(\varphi)\epsilon_Q$, is supported at Q and given there by the equation

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} & -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \end{array} \right| = 0,$$

that is, by the equation $x^3 = 0$. The associated cycle is thus $6Q$. So

$$R(\mathcal{I}_{Q/C}(1), \epsilon_Q) = 4Q.$$

Let $u := x/z$ and $v := y/z$. Then u and v generate the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$. The intrinsic Weierstrass scheme of $(\mathcal{I}_{Q/C}(1), \epsilon_Q)$ is supported at Q and given there by the transporter ideal $(u^3 : (u, v)^2)$, which is equal to $(u, v)^2$. So

$$[Z(\mathcal{I}_{Q/C}(1), \epsilon_Q)] = 3Q.$$

So, if $(\mathcal{I}_{Q/C}(1), \epsilon_Q)$ is a limit of “true” linear systems, the limit of the corresponding Weierstrass divisors is the subscheme $W(a, b)$ of C supported at Q and whose ideal at Q is of the form

$$(auv + bv^2)\mathcal{O}_{C,Q} + (u, v)^3$$

for certain $a, b \in k$, with a or b nonzero.

Furthermore, all a and b are possible. Indeed, consider first the family of pointed curves (C_t, P_t) , where P_t is given by $x = 0$ and $y = tz$, and C_t is given by

$$y^2z - x^3 - x^2z - t^2z^3 = 0.$$

For t close to zero, but nonzero, P_t is a nonsingular point of C_t . The limit of $W(C_t, P_t)$ can be computed to be $W(1, 0)$.

Finally, consider another family of pointed curves (C_t, P_t) , where P_t is given by $x = tz$ and $y = 2ctz$, for a fixed element $c \in k$, and where C_t is given by

$$y^2z + (t - 1)x^2z - x^3 - 2ctyz^2 + t^2z^3 = 0.$$

Again, for t close to zero, but nonzero, P_t is a nonsingular point of C_t . The limit of $W(C_t, P_t)$ can be computed to be $W(c, 1)$.

The computations were done using *CoCoA*[6].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Compactifying the Jacobian*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1976), 947–949.
- [2] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Compactifying the Picard scheme*, Adv. in Math. **35** (1980), 50–112.
- [3] A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Canonical modules*, Extract of a manuscript.

- [4] B. Angeniol and F. El Zein, *Appendice: La classe fondamentale relative d'un cycle*, Mem. Soc. Math. France **58** (1978), 67–93.
- [5] F. Catanese, *Pluricanonical-Gorenstein-curves*, In: “Enumerative geometry and classical algebraic geometry” (Nice, 1981), pp. 51–95, Progr. Math., vol. 24, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.
- [6] CoCoATeam, *CoCoA: a system for doing Computations in Commutative Algebra*, Available at <http://cocoa.dima.unige.it>.
- [7] B. Conrad, *Grothendieck duality and base change*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1750, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [8] C. D’Souza, *Compactification of generalized Jacobians*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. A Math. Sci. **88** (1979), 419–457.
- [9] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Divisors on general curves and cuspidal rational curves*, Invent. math. **74** (1983), 371–418.
- [10] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Limit linear series: basic theory*, Invent. math. **85** (1986), 337–371.
- [11] E. Esteves, *Wronski algebra systems on families of singular curves*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. (4) **29** (1996), 107–134.
- [12] E. Esteves, *Linear systems and ramification points on reducible nodal curves*, In: “Algebra Meeting” (Rio de Janeiro, 1996), pp. 21–35, Mat. Contemp., vol. 14, Soc. Bras. Mat., Rio de Janeiro, 1998.
- [13] E. Esteves, *Compactifying the relative Jacobian over families of reduced curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 3045–3095.
- [14] E. Esteves, *Jets of singular foliations*, Preprint available at the web site <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611528>.
- [15] E. Esteves, *Limits of Cartier divisors*, Preprint available at the web site <http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1656>.
- [16] E. Esteves and M. Pacini, *Resolving power maps for compactified Jacobians*, In preparation.
- [17] E. Esteves, M. Gagné and S. Kleiman, *Abel maps and presentation schemes*, Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne, Comm. in Algebra **28** (2000), 5961–5992.
- [18] A. Garcia and R. Lax, *Weierstrass points on Gorenstein curves in arbitrary characteristic*, Comm. in Algebra **22** (1994), 4841–4854.
- [19] L. Gatto, *k-forme wronskiane, successioni di pesi e punti di Weierstrass su curve di Gorenstein*. Doctor thesis, Università di Torino, 1993.
- [20] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *The dimension of the space of special linear series on a general curve*, Duke Math. J. **47** (1980), 233–272.
- [21] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1966.
- [22] R. Hartshorne, *Generalized divisors on Gorenstein curves and a theorem of Noether*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **26** (1986), 375–386.
- [23] J. Harris and I. Morrison, *Moduli of curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 187, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [24] S. Kleiman, *r-special subschemes and an argument of Severi*, Adv. Math. **22** (1976), 1–23.
- [25] E. Kunz, *Holomorphe Differentialformen auf algebraischen Varietäten mit Singularitäten I*, Manuscr. Math. **15** (1975), 91–108.

- [26] E. Kunz and R. Waldi, *Regular differential forms*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 79, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1988.
- [27] D. Laksov, *Wronskians and Plücker formulas for linear systems on curves*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **17** (1984), 45–66.
- [28] D. Laksov and A. Thorup, *The Brill–Segre formula for families of curves*, In: “Enumerative algebraic geometry” (Copenhagen, 1989), pp. 131–148, Contemp. Math., vol. 123, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [29] D. Laksov and A. Thorup, *The algebra of jets*, Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 393–416.
- [30] D. Laksov and A. Thorup, *Wronski systems for families of local complete intersection curves*, Special issue in honor of Steven L. Kleiman, Comm. in Algebra **31** (2003), 4007–4035.
- [31] R. Lax, *On the distribution of Weierstrass points on singular curves*, Israel J. Math. **57** (1987), 107–115.
- [32] R. Lax, *Weierstrass weight and degenerations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 8–10.
- [33] R. Lax and C. Widland, *Weierstrass points on Gorenstein curves*, Pac. J. Math. **142** (1990), 197–208.
- [34] J. Lipman, *Dualizing sheaves, differentials and residues on algebraic varieties*, Astérisque **117** (1984).
- [35] P. Nogueira, *Limites de sistemas lineares em curvas de Gorenstein*, Doctor thesis, IMPA, 2003, available at http://www.preprint.impa.br/Shadows/SERIE_C/2008/68.html.
- [36] T. Oda and C. S. Seshadri, *Compactifications of the generalized Jacobian variety*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **253** (1979), 1–90.
- [37] B. Osserman, *A limit linear series moduli scheme*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), 1165–1205.
- [38] M. Rosenlicht, *Equivalence relations on algebraic curves*, Ann. Math. **56** (1952), 169–191.
- [39] C. S. Seshadri, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Astérisque **96** (1982).
- [40] F. Severi, *Vorlesungen über algebraische Geometrie*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1921.
- [41] C. Widland, *Weierstrass points on Gorenstein curves*, Ph. D. thesis, Louisiana State University, 1984.